

APPLICANT(S): SHOR, Joseph S. et al.
SERIAL NO.: 09/827,512
FILED: April 5, 2001
Page 3

REMARKS

The present response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of objection and/or rejection raised by the Examiner and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicants assert that the present invention is new, non-obvious and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-12 are pending in the application. Claims 1-12 have been rejected. Claims 1 and 12 have been amended.

Applicants respectfully assert that the amendments to the claims and specification add no new matter.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejections

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 2 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, the Examiner claims the recitation of the term "the stage" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's rejections. Claim 2 recites in part "wherein the second voltage swing of the second clock signal is sufficient to compensate for threshold voltage losses in the stages to which it is applied." It is clear from claim 1, from which claim 2 depends, that the second clock signal is applied to a "later set of stages." Therefore, the term is well defined and it is clear which stages are being referenced. Claim 3 also refers to stages being supplied with a first and second clock. Again, as is clear from claim 1, the stages which receive a first clock signal are a first set of stages, and the stages which receive a second clock signal are a later set of stages. Therefore, since it is clear which

APPLICANT(S): SHOR, Joseph S. et al.
SERIAL NO.: 09/827,512
FILED: April 5, 2001
Page 4

stages are associated with which clock signals in both claims 2 and 3, there is antecedent basis for all reference to the terms "the stages."

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner withdraw his 112 rejections of claims 2 and 3.

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,157,242 to Fukui (the "Fukui reference").

Amended claim 1 now recites in part "a second clock signal provided by a level shifter and having a second voltage swing greater than the first voltage swing". Applicant wishes to point out that, in contrast to the claimed charge pump, the Fukui reference discloses a second clock which is provided by a voltage booster. There are significant structural and functional distinctions between a level shifter and a voltage booster which the Examiner should be well aware of. Therefore, Applicants believe claim 1 is now allowable over the Fukui reference. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw his rejection of claim 1 and to allow the claim.

Claims 2 through 11 depend from claim 1, and Applicants believe claims 2 through 11 are now allowable by virtue of their dependence on allowable claim 1.

Claim 12 now recites in part "applying a comparatively greater voltage from a level shifter to a control capacitor in a successive stage". For the same reasons stated above for claim 1, Applicants believe that claim 12 is now allowable of the cited reference. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw his rejection of claim 12 and allow the claim.


In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the pending claims are believed to be allowable. Their favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

APPLICANT(S): SHOR, Joseph S. et al.
SERIAL NO.: 09/827,512
FILED: April 5, 2001
Page 5

Should the Examiner have any question or comment as to the form, content or entry of this Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below. Similarly, if there are any further issues yet to be resolved to advance the prosecution of this application to issue, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned counsel.

Please charge any fees associated with this paper to deposit account No. 05-0649.

Respectfully submitted,


Vladimir Sherman
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 43,116

Dated: February 25, 2003

Eitan, Pearl, Latzer & Cohen-Zedek
One Crystal Park, Suite 210, 2011 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA, USA 22202-3709
Telephone: (212) 632-3497
Fax: (703) 486-0800

APPLICANT(S): SHOR, Joseph S. et al.
SERIAL NO.: 09/827,512
FILED: April 5, 2001
Page 6

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

In the Claims, the following changes were made:

1. (Twice Amended) In a charge pump including a first set and a later set of stages, each stage comprising an input and an output, an injection capacitor and a control capacitor, an improvement comprising:
 - (a) a first clock signal having a first voltage swing, the first clock signal being applied to the energy injection capacitor of each of the stages; and
 - (b) a second clock signal provided by a level shifter and having a second voltage swing greater than the first voltage swing, the second clock signal being applied to the control capacitor of at least one of the stages within the later set of stages.

12. (Twice Amended) A method for overcoming increasing bulk effect in successive stages of a charge pump comprising;
applying in a given stage an energizing voltage to an energy injection capacitor; and
applying a comparatively greater voltage from a level shifter to a control capacitor in a successive stage.